



JUDGEMENT

**Bona fide proceeding for Rectification of
Order will save the GST Appeal from
Limitation Bar**

In Case Of
Debabrata Bhowmick

Issued By
High Court of Gauhati

Order Date
24th June 2026

Order No
Writ Petition (C) No. 2332/2026

OBSERVATIONS

- The petitioner, proprietor of M/s Harekrishna Drugs, was **subjected to a proceeding culminating into an Order-in-Original dated 03.01.2025 confirming demand of ITC, interest and penalty**, passed by Adjudicating Authority.
- Instead of filing an appeal u/s 107, the **petitioner filed an application for rectification of mistake under Section 161 on 08.03.2025, contending that disallowance of ITC was based on an apparent error** and that the supplier had filed returns belatedly.
- The **rectification application was rejected on 24.04.2025 on a ground that reason cited in the application was not found satisfactory**. Thereafter, the **petitioner filed appeal under Section 107 on 23.05.2025 challenging sustainability of both the Order-in-Original as well as Order-in-Rectification Application**.
- Appellate Authority **rejected the appeal as time-barred by computing limitation from the date of Order-in-Original and by treating the appeal as filed beyond the normal three-month period** and further condonable period of one month.

JUDGEMENT

- The Hon'ble Gauhati High **Court held that the time spent in pursuing rectification proceeding under Section 161 can be excluded while computing limitation for filing appeal under Section 107**, provided such rectification proceedings were pursued with due diligence and good faith.
- The **Court applied the principles of Section 14 of the Limitation Act and held that although Section 5 of the Limitation Act cannot extend GST appeal limitation beyond the statutory cap**, exclusion of time for bona fide proceedings can still apply
- After excluding 48 days spent in rectification proceeding, the **appeal was not within the normal limitation period, but it was within the further condonable period under Section 107(4)**.
- The **Court held that absence of a separate delay condonation application is a curable defect**. Appellate Authority ought to have given the taxpayer at least one opportunity to file such application and explain the delay before rejecting the appeal.
- The **appellate order rejecting the appeal on limitation was therefore set aside** and the matter was remanded to Appellate Authority for fresh consideration.

TAKEAWAYS

- **Exclusion of time spent in rectification proceeding is not automatic.**
The taxpayer must show that rectification was pursued with due diligence and good faith.
- The judgment is also significant on the doctrine of merger. **The Court reiterated that where an appeal is dismissed on limitation without entering into merits, the original order does not merge with the appellate order.**
- The Court did not treat rejection of rectification under Section 161 as a fresh starting point of limitation. Instead, **it granted relief by excluding bona fide time spent in rectification proceedings.**



NJJ | PPC | PMP

JPP Network

Trust, Confidence and Passion

Indirect Taxes & Allied Laws

A network of **Chartered Accountant Firms** registered with **ICAI**.



079-4002-2628



contact@jppnetwork.com



www.jppnetwork.com

Network Presence

Ahmedabad | Mumbai | Rajkot

Serving Clients Across India